1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 7 AT SEATTLE ERIC FLORES, 8 Case No. C15-1653 RAJ Plaintiff, 9 **REPORT AND** v. 10 RECOMMENDATION UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL. 11 Defendant. 12 13 On October 15, 2015, Plaintiff filed an Application to Proceed In Forma Pauperis before 14 this Court. Plaintiff's Application was referred to the undersigned on October 16, 2015. The 15 undersigned issued an order regarding the deficiency of Plaintiff's Application for failure to use the correct application form that day. Dkt. 4. The Order directed Plaintiff to submit a renewed 16 17 In Forma Pauperis Application utilizing this Court's application form, as required by LCR 18 3(b)(1), by November 6, 2015. *Id.* The Clerk of this Court mailed a copy of the Order to 19 Plaintiff on October 16, 2015, along with a letter enclosing the correct In Forma Pauperis 20 Application form. Dkts. 2, 4. On November 2, 2015, both the Order and the Clerk's letter were 21 returned as undeliverable. Dkts. 5–6. To date, Plaintiff has not provided the Court with a correct 22 mailing address, nor has the Plaintiff submitted any additional filings in this case. Based upon 23 Plaintiff's failure to provide the Court with a current mailing address, the undersigned

**REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION - 1** 

recommends that this case be dismissed without prejudice pursuant to LCR 41(b)(2) on **January 4, 2016.** Should Plaintiff provide the Court with an updated mailing address as well as a renewed In Forma Pauperis Application utilizing the correct application form before that time, the application should be referred back to the undersigned for further consideration. **OBJECTIONS AND APPEAL** This Report and Recommendation is not an appealable order. Therefore a notice of appeal seeking review in the Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit should not be filed until the assigned District Judge enters a judgment in the case. Objections, however, may be filed and served upon all parties no later than **November** 24, 2015. The Clerk should note the matter for November 25, 2015, as ready for the District Judge's consideration if no objection is filed. If objections are filed, any response is due within 14 days after being served with the objections. A party filing an objection must note the matter for the Court's consideration 14 days from the date the objection is filed and served. The matter will then be ready for the Court's consideration on the date the response is due. Objections and responses shall not exceed three pages. The failure to timely object may affect the right to appeal. DATED this 10th day of November, 2015.

BRIAN A. TSUCHIDA
United States Magistrate Judge

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23